





Scott E. Bennett, P.E. Director, Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department





Federal Highway Administration

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF State Highway and Transportation Officials





## Looking Back

- Strategic Highway Research Program (SHRP)
  - o Began 1987
  - Four Key Areas
    - ✓ Asphalt
    - ✓ Concrete and Structures
    - Highway Operations
    - ✓ Pavement Performance



#### **Looking Back**

Long Term Pavement Performance (LTPP)
Nationwide – 2,500 sites
Arkansas – 44 sites
Interstate 30
Constructed in 1994
13 Pavement Sections
12 Designed to Fail Early
\$9 M Rehabilitation Project Scheduled

2013

### **Today's Presentation**

- SHRP2 Round 2
  - Expediting Project
     Delivery C19
    - User Incentive
  - Railroad-DOT
     Mitigation Strategies -R16
    - Lead Adopter
- SHRP2 Round 4
  - Reliability Data and Analysis Tools (Bundle)
     L02/L08/C11









#### **Expediting Project Delivery**



## What is Expediting Project Delivery (C19)?



Strategies to:

- Improve Public Involvement and Support
- Improve Resource Agency Involvement and Collaboration
- Demonstrate Real Commitment to the Project
- Improve Internal Communication and Coordination
- Streamline Decision Making
- Integrate Across all Phases of Project Delivery 6

# Schedule and Cost Estimate

Task #	Deliverable/Activity	Timeframe	Estimated Cost
1	Assessment workshop facilitated by FHWA.	4 months	\$20,000
2	Development of a risk management process along the critical path.	2 months	\$7,500
3	Verification of the risk management process through case studies.	4 months	\$15,000
4	Development of an expedited process.	2 months	\$7,500
Total Estimated Cost			\$50,000
Total SHRP2 Award			\$50,000
Total Amount Held for Assessment Workshop			\$20,000
Total Amount Allocated to State			\$30,000

## Expediting Project Delivery – Assessment Workshop

- Facilitated by FHWA on July 30-31, 2014
- 30 Attendees
- Topics
  - Overview of the Expediting Project Delivery and the Expediting Project Delivery Assessment Tool
  - Overview of "Current State" and "Desired State" of Project Development and Delivery Processes and Practices
  - Collaboration and Coordination Challenges and Opportunities
    - ✓ "What works well?"
    - ✓ "What needs work?"

## What Works Well at AHTD?

- Administration Open to Change
- Recent Organizational Changes
- Creation of Preliminary Engineering Squad
- Hiring Qualified Personnel
- Open Communication
- Improved Teamwork
- Good Relationship between AHTD and FHWA
- Public Engagement

## **Challenges and Opportunities**

- Project Development Process Documentation
- Changing to Performance Based Project Identification
- Early Project Decision Making:
  - o Defining the Purpose and Need
  - Project Scoping
- Prioritization of Projects
- Local Agencies Communication
- Project Change Communication
- Identifying New Technologies for Project Implementation

### **Action Steps**



- Refine Scoping
- Enhance Purpose and Need Statements
- Improve Information Exchange and Data Sharing
- Improve Communication/Coordination (Internal and External)
- Improve Resource Allocation (Internal and Consultant Staff)

## Purpose, Scope and Plan

#### PURPOSE AND NEED

• The need to identify a process to expedite project delivery for the purpose of delivering successful projects that meet the Department's mission of providing a safe, efficient, aesthetically pleasing environmentally sound intermodal transportation system for the user.

#### • SCOPE

- Refine Process to Scope Construction Projects
- Improve Information Exchange, Communication, and Coordination with Internal and External Stakeholders
- Evaluate and Enhance the Department's Resources
- ACTION PLAN
  - Submit in 8 months



## Overall

- Assessment Workshop Successful
- Opportunities for Improvement Identified
- Action Plan Underway



#### **Railroad - DOT Mitigation Strategies**





- Create a series of standard railroad agreements for a wide range of projects
- Reduce project delivery constraints
- Create mutual understanding and streamline the process saving time and money for both railroads and public agencies
- Reduce construction delays
- Deliver projects more rapidly and less intrusively to our travelling public

## Support for AHTD: Focus on Proactive Coordination

- Funds for Railroad DOT Mitigation Strategies will support:
  - Scoping meetings to determine baseline requirements of each organization and develop standardized, projectspecific support documentation and procedures; and
  - Streamlined and standardized processes to implement various roadway improvement projects involving Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) facilities.
- Long Term Benefits:
  - AHTD will use the agreements and documentation established through this effort to work with other railroad partners to develop similar agreements.

## AHTD Collaboration-Related Challenges

- Obtaining Critical Railroad Information
- Railroad Coordination
  - Executing Railroad Agreements
  - Executing Right-of-Way Agreements
- Lack of Standardized Project Development Process
- Reconcile Railroad Expenses with Federal Reimbursement Regulations

### Railroad Coordination Improvement Needs

#### Baseline Developed

 1979 - Established Working Agreement between AHTD and the Union Pacific Railroad Company (UPRR)

#### Improvement Needed

- Streamlined and Standardized Processes and Agreements
- Improved Design Collaboration
- Improved Property Transfer Coordination



# Expectations: Streamlining Public Agency and Railroad Coordination

- Identify and Circumvent Sources of Conflict
- Provide Tools to Guide Projects:
  - Model Legal Agreements
  - Recommended Practices
  - Sample Contracts
  - o Training Materials

# Proposed Schedule and Cost

Implementation Assistance				
Activity	Anticipated Completion	FHWA Technical Assistance	DOT Activity	
Analyze Exisiting Agreements	Sep-14	\$10,000	\$15,000	
Identify Process Requirements	Feb-15	\$0	\$15,000	
Draft New Agreements and Processes	Jun-15	\$5,000	\$15,000	
Conduct Case Study	Aug-15	\$0	\$15,000	
Evaluate Effectiveness	On-going	\$0	\$10,000	
Assist Other DOTs	On-going	\$0	\$5,000	
	Total	\$15,000	\$75,000	





#### **More information on SHRP2**

Pam Hutton AASHTO SHRP2 Implementation Manager 303-263-1212 phutton@aashto.org

http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/goshrp2/ http://shrp2.transportation.org